

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/582,522	08/24/2000	Zeev Maor	00654759	8228
	590 12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP 190 SOUTH LASALLE ST CHICAGO, IL 60603-3441			YU, GINA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/582,522	MAOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gina C. Yu	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) N	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	September 2004					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6,10,12,13,15-19 and 21-24 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) 5,15,18,19 and 21-24 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,6,10,12,13 and 16 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. l. d.	tion.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Ority documents have bee In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) \(\bigcirc \text{ Notice of } \\ \text{Other:} \(\bigcirc \text{ \text{Other:}} \)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/582,522

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 28, 2004 has been entered.

Oath/Declaration

Applicants' declaration filed on September 28, 2004 has been fully considered.

Indicated in the declaration is that the claimed clear gel may be formed by using various nonionic solubilizers, including ethoxylated sorbitan esters and PEG-hydrogenated castor oil for the hydrophobic component.

In distinguishing the present invention from the Dead Sea water composition of Kyotaro (JP 08113530), Declarant indicates that the prior art composition is used to cleanse the skin and removed, whereas the present invention is left on the skin upon application. Examiner respectfully points out that the intended use or purpose of the claimed subject matter does not render a patentable distinction.

Declarant also states that the Kyotaro composition forms "solid, coarse and inhomegeneous powder" and "white, non transparent slurry" upon the addition of a hydrophobic active agent (tocopherol acetate) and the subsequent dilution with water, respectively. Examiner respectfully points out that this comparison was not conducted

Application/Control Number: 09/582,522

Art Unit: 1617

under the same condition with the present invention because nonionic solubilizers,
which are required elements in the present invention, were not used in the experiments.

Nevertheless, the previous rejection made over Kyotaro in view of Biener (US 4943432) and Thompson (US 5425954), as indicated in the Office action dated April 7, 2004, is not maintained in view of the claim amendment. See Beiner, col. 1, line 42 – col. 2, line 9 (teaching way from using actual Dead Sea water).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 4, 6, 10, 12, 13, and 16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6248340 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are directed to a composition comprising Dead Sea water, at least one non-ionic solubilizer, a hydrophobic active agent, and gelling or viscosity modifier, and deionized water to make 100 wt %.

Art Unit: 1617

While the '340 patent claims a "liquid composition", claims 4 and 5 there teach to add a gelling agent which is defined in col. 4, lines 24 – 29. While the claims are silent as to the clarity of the composition, there is nothing in the '340 patent to indicate that the composition is opaque or cloudy. Non-ionic solubilizers (PEG 40 stearate, sorbitan tristearate) are taught in claim 10 of the patent. Emollients (cetyl alcohol) recited in claim 10 there also meet the hydrophobic active agents limitation in the instant claims. While the claims of the patent require algae extract, the specification defines that the algae contains tocopherol (a vitamin and an antioxidant) and glycerin (humectant). See instant claims 4, 6, 12, and 13. The hydrosoluble plant extract of the patent also meets the hydrophilic active agent limitation. See instant claims 10 and 13. Perfume is also taught in claim 10 of the patent. See instant claim 16.

While claim 8 of the patent teaches using 10-15 % of Dead Sea water, the specification defines Dead Sea water ingredient as a viscous, colorless and clear liquid. See instant claim 1, which requires 30-80 % of Dead Sea water. Examiner views that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the invention of '340 by increasing the amount of Dead Sea water, as motivated by the teachings therein, 1) to make a viscous gel and 2) to increase the beneficial effects of the active ingredients (i.e., minerals). The skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully making the gel because '340 teaches that Dead Sea water is viscous, clear, and colorless.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 15, 18, 19, and 21-24 are allowed.

Claims 3 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 5

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

Claims 5, 15, 18, 19, and 21-24 are allowed.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 10, 12, 13, 16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness double patenting.

Claims 3 and 17 are objected to as depending upon a rejected base claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 571-272-0635.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/582,522

Art Unit: 1617

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gina Yu Patent Examiner

